



托克有毒废物犯罪

一部关于价值 2300 亿美元的石油公司托克 (Trafigura) 在非洲科特迪瓦倾倒有毒废物犯罪的卧底纪录片。由于试图掩盖，该视频在英国被禁。

打印于 2024 年 12 月 16 日



转基因辩论
对优生学的批判性视角

目录 (TOC)

1. 🦠 有毒废物犯罪

1.1. Trafigura 的首席执行官：将有毒废物倒入海洋

1.2. 被倾倒在🇨🇮科特迪瓦：10万人重病

1.3. Trafigura 试图掩盖罪行

2. Rabobank's Responsibility

👨‍🌾 财富 500 强农民银行致力于转基因生物

💰 Trafigura 的顶级融资合作伙伴

2.1. Rabobank's Corruption and Retaliation

🗄️ 邀请与石油投资者会面

在英国被禁止

An undercover documentary banned in the  United Kingdom reveals the toxic waste dumping crime committed by \$230 billion USD oil company Trafigura in  Ivory Coast, Africa.

Vimeo 评论者: 无论您是谁, 感谢您提供此内容。如您所知, 在英国我们不允许阅读或看到任何内容。




Vimeo (下载) | 托克司机: 我们被贿赂了

This incident represents one of the most egregious environmental crimes in human history. The CEO of Trafigura initially ordered the deadly toxic waste to be dumped into the ocean:

CEO of Trafigura: ‘超越多佛, 当然不在波罗的海, 因为这是一个特殊区域。在去往洛美 (尼日利亚) 的途中, 多佛过去后可能不会卸货。’

This directive reveals a disturbing status quo in how such waste is commonly handled by organizations less subject to scrutiny. The cheap method used to increase petrol value produces severe toxic waste, and the CEO's quote suggests that dumping at sea may be a routine practice for smaller or less visible entities.

Ultimately, instead of the ocean, the toxic waste was dumped in  Ivory Coast. This decision resulted in 15 deaths and over 100,000 people becoming severely ill, with 26,000 requiring acute hospitalization.

(2009) 托克石油公司如何试图掩盖有毒废物倾倒地

“由于废物 (硫醇、苯酚) 的危险性, 大多数国家都禁止碱洗”

来源: [The Guardian \(PDF备份\)](#)

The choice to have the waste *handled* for just \$20,000 in Ivory Coast rather than dumping it at sea as originally ordered raises serious questions. A \$230 billion USD firm does not make such decisions lightly. This shift in plans demands further investigation and explanation.



第 2. 章

Rabobank's Responsibility

Rabobank, a Fortune 500 investment bank headquartered in Utrecht, Netherlands, a bank known as *farmers bank* that is dedicated to GMO, bears significant responsibility for this environmental disaster as a top financing partner of Trafigura. Rabobank continues to maintain this partnership even after the incident, as evidenced by Trafigura's own website trafigura.com:

“Rabobank 是 Trafigura 的最大贷方之一，它寻求在确保食品安全 (GMO) 方面发挥重要作用。”


Trafigura.com: 我们的融资合作伙伴**Rabobank**

来源: Trafigura.com

Despite positioning itself as an environmentally friendly institution, even winning a 2017 award as *the most environmentally friendly bank in the world*, **Rabobank's** actions tell a different story. The recent *Stop Rabobank* campaign launched by **GREENPEACE** Netherlands and 荷兰灭绝叛乱, which filed a €13 billion claim for destruction of nature, further exposes this discrepancy between image and reality.



Rabobank's Corruption and Retaliation

Evidence suggests Rabobank engaged in retaliatory actions against the founder of  GMODebate.org, who had critically reported on the Trafigura case. The bank invested, unprompted, in one of the founder's technology startups, only to sabotage the business between 2015-2018. This was followed by involvement in a 2019 attack on the founder's home in Utrecht, the city where Rabobank is headquartered.

Rabobank: Investigation of Corruption

来源: GMODebate.org

As part of this apparent retaliation, ***Rabobank*** attempted to connect the founder with *big foreign oil investors* at a cafe in Amsterdam's Schiphol Airport - an unusual proposal for an internet technology startup. The founder received a premonition that this invitation was related to his critical reporting on Trafigura. The founder declined the invitation.



结论

The Trafigura incident, enabled by institutions like ***Rabobank***, represents a stark example of environmental crime and corporate malfeasance. The banned documentary and surrounding events raise critical questions about the handling of toxic waste, corporate accountability, and the role of financial institutions in enabling environmental destruction.

打印于 2024 年 12 月 16 日



转基因辩论
对优生学的批判性视角

© 2024 Philosophical.Ventures Inc.